

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,743	11/20/2001	Michael A. Porter	023829-0133	9675
26371 7	7590 06/03/2003			
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER	
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800			WEIER, AN	THONY J
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53202-5308		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	L
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	Α.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>`</u>	Application No.	applicant(s)		
•				
Office Action Summary	09/989,743	PORTER ET AL.		
omoonoaen canmary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Anthony Weier	vith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	iii appeare on the bover onest t			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of this ceriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 .			
	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the ce</li></ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	•			
a) The translation of the foreign language				
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	B) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/989,743

Art Unit: 1761

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-42 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of copending Application No. 09/883552. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims call for a dough product containing conventional dough components with a particular protein ingredient. The protein product of copending Application No. 09/883552 is silent regarding its use in a dough product. However, it is well known to prepare protein supplements in a dough vehicle, and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the particular protein product of copending Application No. 09/883552 in a dough composition as claimed as a matter of preference.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 703-308-3846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,743

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Anthony Weier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

Anthony Weier June 2, 2003

6/2/03